UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,171	10/17/2005	Munetsugu Ueyama	017700-0180	1079
23392 7590 01/23/2009 FOLEY & LARDNER			EXAMINER	
	Y PARK EAST	PATEL, ISHWARBHAI B		
SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/553,171	UEYAMA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 C This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-14 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2008 is/are	n from consideration. or election requirement. er. er. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	-		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/553,171 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This action is in response to amendment filed on October 22, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otto (US Patent No. 6,188,921) in view of Christopherson (US Patent No. 6,339,047) and Higashiyama Kazuhisa (JP408106823).

Regarding claim 1, Otto discloses a superconducting wire, comprising an oxide superconductor and a cladding metal for cladding said oxide superconductor (oxide filament in metal sheath, column 5, line 1-10). Otto does not explicitly disclose a material of said cladding metal having a breaking strain of at least 30% in a stress-strain test. Otto discloses cladding metal to be silver or silver alloy (column 6, line 5-14). Otto further recites that stress / strain arises in the system which may induce defects into the brittle superconducting phase (column 6, line 14-30). That means the cladding material should be selected such that it will be able to withstand the stress / strain without passing it to the superconducting phase.

Christopherson discloses that even high purity silver usually contain some impurity. Christopherson further recites alloying silver with suitable material.

Higashiyama Kazuhisa discloses silver pipe with 99.99 % purity.

Therefore, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would be motivated to have the metal cladding (silver) with the desired amount of impurity along with alloying material to control stress / strain of the cladding to avoid damage to the superconducting material.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the superconducting wire of Otto with said cladding metal having a breaking strain of at least 30% in a stress-strain test, as taught by Christopherson and Higashiyama, in order to control stress / strain of the cladding to avoid damage to the superconducting material.

Further, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involve only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claims 2-5, the desired value of breaking strain (claim 2-4) and maximum stress (claim 5), would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention, to adjust the specific limitations recited in claims to control stress / strain of the cladding to avoid damage to the superconducting material, as applied to claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claim 6, Otto further discloses the material of said cladding metal contains silver and/or silver alloy (column 6, line 5-14).

Regarding claim 7, Otto further discloses a material of said oxide superconductor contains a bismuth-based oxide superconductor (column 6, line 31-45).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ishwar (I. B.) Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 1933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272 1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,171 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ibp January 20, 2009

/Ishwar (I. B.) Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841